

but North Platte being the railroad center, the interstate town, the highway that would go through, we are going to have probably as much if not more traffic on the low-level nuclear waste than most other communities are, and we will even have it in the yard sitting there for periods of time potentially. So I am very much in favor of what Senator Preister is trying to do. On the other hand, what I heard yesterday was, in the debate last night, they wanted to move the bill to Select File, and it would sit there till next year. That's one thing I heard, and I didn't really necessarily disagree with that. We do it all the time in the body, but where I finally came down to where I think we need to bracket it here is when I heard Senator Preister say there are going to be interim studies this summer, and they are going to take what they get from the interim studies and put that into an amendment on the bill. I don't know what they are going to come up with on the interim studies. I don't know what things will change between the industry who right now has worked out some agreements that we all know how over the interim things have a tendency to change. I don't know what's going to be offered in the amendment, that whether I agree with it or disagree with it, but I do know that if I don't know what the amendment, the amendment or bill is going to be, I don't want to vote for the bill to move it to the next round of debate. I think we should bracket it until next year. We then take the information that will come out of the interim study, it would then be still a senator priority bill of Senator Preister's. It would be one of the first bills discussed next year, because when we come back, if you remember, we pick up senator priority bills that were not passed in the first session. We will start there right away. We will have the amendment. We will have the interim study. We will have the debate, and we will have two full rounds on debate on an issue of low-level nuclear waste and transportation and other related areas. I think that's reasonable. If you advance it, if we try to advance it to Select File, then next year when we have the issue before us, practically we will only have one round of debate. And what will happen next year, we will pass something from Select File, we will agree to the amendments, probably, with some, whatever changes, it goes to Final Reading, and only then will people look at it, and they'll say, oh, I didn't realize that this part was in there. I didn't see it that care...didn't read it that carefully. We should make the change but now we are on Final Reading, and Final Reading is difficult many times to make that change. It is not necessarily a round of debate, though it should be a round of debate. So I think the better policy is